

### REMARKS

In the Office Action mailed on March 17, 2004, the Examiner rejected claims 1 – 9 and objected to claims 7 and 8. With this Amendment, Applicant has amended claims 1, 6, and 8 and canceled claim 7. The Application now includes claims 1 – 6, 8, and 9.

A Petition for Three-Months Extension of Time and check in the amount of \$ 475.00 are enclosed.

The Examiner has required the submission of new formal drawings. With this Amendment, Applicant has enclosed one (1) sheet of new formal drawings.

### REJECTION OF CLAIMS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 112

The Examiner rejected claims 1 – 9 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. With this Amendment, Applicant has amended claims 1 and 6 in accordance with the Examiner's instruction. Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the rejection of claims 1 – 9 under 35 U.S.C. § 112 be withdrawn and that claims 1 – 6, 8, and 9 be held allowable.

### REJECTION OF CLAIMS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103

The Examiner rejected claims 1 – 6 and 9 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over the Stoeckel et al patent. With this Amendment, Applicant has amended claim 1 to include the elements of claim 7. Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the rejection of claims 1 – 6, and 9 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 be withdrawn and that claims 1 – 6, 8, and 9 be held allowable.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, it is believed that the present application is in condition for allowance.  
Reconsideration and allowance of claims 1 – 6, 8, and 9 are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

ROBERT GJESTVANG

By: 

Emery L. Tracy, Reg. No. 34,081

P.O. Box 1518

Boulder, Colorado 80306

Phone: (303) 443-1143 Fax: (303) 443-1415